

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,174	03/29/2001	Keiichi Furukawa	15162/03420	4027
24367	7590 12/18/2002			
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400			EXAMINER	
			HARVEY, JAMES R	
DALLAS, T	DALLAS, TX 75201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	,
•			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/821,174	FURUKAWA ET AL.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INCO DATE And	James R. Harvey	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a sy within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 C</u>	October 2002 and 15 Octo	ober 2002 .
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-15 and 17-86 is/are	withdrawn from consider	ation.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2001 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	_
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) The translation of the foreign language provi	isional application has bee	en received
ttachment(s)	Firsting and of O.O.O. S	13 120 and/01 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.	4) Interview Su 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Preliminary Amendment**

- The preliminary amendment filed 10-19-02 (certificate of mailing date 10-8-02) adds new dependent claims 83-86. However, the statement appearing on page 18, lines 9-11 concerning "..increasing the number of independent claims by 4 from 82 to 86.." and "...does not increase the total number of claims..." appears to be a typographical error and should be corrected by changing <u>independent claims</u> to <u>claims</u> and <u>total number of claims</u> to <u>total number of independent claims</u>.
- Correction or explanation is required.

### Information Disclosure Statement

• The Information Disclosure statement(s) and related documents that were filed on 3-29-01 have been considered.

#### Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election with traverse of (claims 1, 2, 16 (Group I)) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious burden would be required to examine the 82 claims that were pending in the originally filed application. Applicant also submits an alternative argument that the search and examination of claim 1-19 would be less of a burden than the 82 claims that were pending in the originally filed application.
- These arguments are not found persuasive because the MPEP requires that the examiner "...must examine..." the application on the merits if two conditions are met; the first condition is "...the search....can be made without a serious burden...". The second

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174

Art Unit: 2833

condition is "... examination of the entire application can be made without a serious burden...".

A key element concerning the "... examination of the entire application..." and of these conditions is if the species are patentably distinct. If the species are <u>not</u> patentably distinct, then the examination may not be a burden. However, if the species are patentably distinct, then the examination is seen to be a burden because of the intricacies associated with the patentably distinct species.

In the previous office action, applicant was advised to "...submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record..." if the species were <u>not</u> patentably distinct in order to make the record clear concerning the examination and its associated burden. However, applicant did not make any attempts to address if the species were or were <u>not</u> patentably distinct. The examination of the distinct species is therefore deemed to be a serious burden and meets the second condition of the restriction section of the MPEP.

- The preliminary amendment filed 10-19-02 (certificate of mailing date 10-8-02) adds new dependent claims 83-86, but fails to adhere to the restriction requirement "... and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added...". Accordingly, claims 83-86 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.
- The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL

### **Priority**

• Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174

Art Unit: 2833

## Claim R jections - 35 USC § 102

• The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claim(s) 1, 2, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Shida et al. (JP Publication number 11-249152).
- -- In reference to claim 1, Shida shows the method of opposing (English translation page 6, lines 19-22) a first panel element 2 and a second panel element 1, each having at least one display layer (English translation page 6, lines 8-11), with positioning the first and second panel elements relatively to each other (panel-opposing step); and

progressively adhering (figure 2), after the panel-opposing step, the first and second panel elements from a starting position (below wheel 7) with an adhesive material (panel-adhering step) ("epoxy resin", English translation page 6, lines 12-14).

-- In reference to claim 2, Shida shows the method of the panel-opposing step includes the step of positioning the first panel element and causing a first stage English translation page 6, line 20

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174

Art Unit: 2833

(temporarily fixing) to hold the first panel element and the step of positioning the second panel

element and causing a second stage (rubber plate 3) to hold the second panel element.

-- In reference to claim 16, shows the starting position (figure 2) is located on ends of the first

and second panel elements.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Carre et al., Takahara et al., and Thatcher show the state of the art with respect to

applicant's broadly claimed invention.

• Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to James R. Harvey whose telephone number is 703-305-0958. The examiner

can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. To 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 703-308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7724 for regular communications

and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0952.

James R. Harvey, Examiner

jrh

December 10, 2002

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5